

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,906 08/06/2003		8/06/2003	Hiroshi Takeuchi	032879-017 7361	
21839	7590	10/18/2005	EXAMINER		
	<del>-</del>	RSOLL PC , DOANE, SWECK	NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
POST OFFI		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22313-1404	2871		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/634,96	06	TAKEUCHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit	1			
		(Nancy) T	hanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum so period for reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  ent, however, may a reply be  ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  lication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 12 August 2005						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 August 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>'</i> —		prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
∪,∪	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,8,9,13,14,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂								
7)								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
, —	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offi	ce Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	y documents have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati			ived in this Nationa	al Stage			
* (	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	ified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachmen	• •							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	ΓO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/8/05</u> .		о <u> </u>					

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment dated 8/12/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application; wherein claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19 and 20 are withdrawn form consideration; claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10-12, 15 and 18 are object to examination at this time.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- The rejections are respectfully maintained and reproduced infra for applicants' convenience.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 2, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arakawa et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0214625 in view of Ichihashi et al JP 2001-091741, and further in view of Kaneko U.S. Patent No. 6,825,902.

Referring to claims 1 and 2, Arakawa et al discloses a retarder comprising a substrate (S) having a longitudinal direction; a first optical anisotropic layer (A); a second optical anisotropic layer (B), [see fig. 3], wherein the optically anisotropic layer formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline compound, in which the rod-like molecules are aligned homogeneously, [see par. 0137, 0138, 0140].

Arakawa et al lacks disclosure of a first optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi$  at 550 nm, and second optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi/2$  at 550 nm.

Page 3

Ichihashi et al discloses the retarder (phase difference plate) comprising a first optically anisotropic layer (A) having substantially  $\pi$  phase difference at 550 nm wavelength and a second optical anisotropic layer (B) having substantially  $\pi/2$  phase difference at 550 nm wavelength for the benefit of obtaining an extremely thin wide band  $\lambda/4$  plate, [see abstract]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a first optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi$  at 550 nm, and second optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi/2$  at 550 nm for the benefit of obtaining an extremely thin wide band  $\lambda/4$  plate.

Arakawa et al also lacks disclosure of an in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees, which is inherently a rubbing axis of an alignment layer for predetermining an orientation angle of the rod-like molecules in the first optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees; an in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees, which is inherently a rubbing axis of an alignment layer for predetermining an orientation angle of the rod-like molecules in the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees; and the in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer cross substantially at 60 degrees.

Art Unit: 2871

Kaneko discloses an in-plane slow axis (14a) of the first optically anisotropic layer (14) and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees, an in-plane slow axis (13a) of the second optically anisotropic layer (13) and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees, and the inplane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer cross substantially at 60 degrees, [see col. 9, lines 4-11; and figs. 1, 6], for the benefit of making a broad band quarter-wave plate, wherein a retardation represented by retardation value/wavelength = 1/4 applies to all wavelength regions, and the effective optical axis thereof is in the direction of the horizontal axis, [see col. 9, lines 15-20]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees, an in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees, and the in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer cross substantially at 60 degrees for the benefit of making a broad band quarter-wave plate, wherein a retardation represented by retardation value/wavelength = 1/4 applies to all wavelength regions, and the effective optical axis thereof is in the direction of the horizontal axis.

**Claim 6** is met the discussion regarding claims 1 and 2 rejection above.

Claims 10, 11, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko U.S. Patent No. 6,825,902 in view of Ichihashi et al JP

Art Unit: 2871

2001-091741, and further in view of Arakawa et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0214625.

Referring to claims 10 and 11, Kaneko discloses a circular polarizer comprising a linear polarizer film (11 or 17) having a transparent axis substantially inclined at +45 degrees or -45 degrees relative to a longitudinal direction of a substrate; a first (14) and second (13) optically anisotropic layers wherein an in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees, which is inherently a rubbing axis of an alignment layer for predetermining an orientation angle of the rod-like molecules in the first optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees; an in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees, which is inherently a rubbing axis of an alignment layer for predetermining an orientation angle of the rod-like molecules in the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees; and the in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic layer cross substantially at 60 degrees, [col. 8, lines 58-61; col. 9, lines4-11, lines 24-27; see figs 1, 5, 6].

Kaneko lacks disclosure of a first optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi$  at 550 nm, and second optical anisotropic layer substantially generating a phase difference  $\pi/2$  at 550 nm. This limitation is met by Ichihashi et al as discussed above.

Kaneko also lacks disclosure of the optically anisotropic layer(s) formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline compound, in which the rod-like molecules are aligned homogenously.

Arakawa et al discloses the optically anisotropic layer formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline compound, in which the rod-like molecules are aligned homogeneously, [see par. 0137, 0138, 0140], for the benefit of having high optical anisotropy, and being able to adjust easily the slow axis of the layer by controlling the alignment of the liquid crystal molecules, [see par. 0015, 0016]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the optically anisotropic layer formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline compound, in which the rod-like molecules are aligned homogeneously for the benefit of having high optical anisotropy, and being able to adjust easily the slow axis of the layer.

Claim 15 is met the discussion regarding claims 10 and 11 rejection above.

Referring to claim 16, Kaneko discloses the first (14) and second (13) optically anisotropic layers are prepared on or above the surface of the substrate and the linear polarizer film (11) is laminated on or above the surface of the substrate, [see fig. 1].

Referring to claim 17, Kaneko discloses the first (14) and second (13) optically anisotropic layers are prepared on or above the surface of the substrate and the linear polarizer film (17) is laminated on or above the rear surface of the substrate, [see fig. 1].

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 3, 7, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arakawa et al in view of Ichihashi et al, and Kaneko as discussed above, and further in view of Hsu et al U.S. Patent No. 6,338902.

Referring to claims 3, 7, 12, and 18, Arakawa et al lacks disclosure of at least one of the first and second optically anisotropic layers is formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline compound denoted by Formula (I) bellow:

Q.sup.1-L.sup.1-Cy.sup.1-L.sup.2-(Cy.sup.2-L.sup.3).sub.n-Cy.sup.3-L.sup.4-Q.sup.2 where Q.sup.1 and Q.sup.2 respectively denote a polymerizable group; L.sup.1 and L.sup.4 respectively denote a divalent linking group, L.sup.2 and L.sup.3 respectively denote a single bond or divalent linking group; Cy.sup.1, Cy.sup.2, and Cy.sup.3 respectively denote a divalent cyclic group; and n is 0, 1 or 2.

Hsu et al discloses the optically anisotropic layer (compensator) is formed of a composition comprising a liquid-crystalline compound denoted by Formula (I) bellow:

Q.sup.1-L.sup.1-Cy.sup.1-L.sup.2-(Cy.sup.2-L.sup.3).sub.n-Cy.sup.3-L.sup.4-Q.sup.2 where Q.sup.1 and Q.sup.2 respectively denote a polymerizable group; L.sup.1 and L.sup.4 respectively denote a divalent linking group, L.sup.2 and L.sup.3 respectively denote a single bond or divalent linking group; Cy.sup.1, Cy.sup.2, and Cy.sup.3 respectively denote a divalent cyclic group; and n is 0, 1 or 2, [see fig. 4], for the benefit of having high performance of improving viewing angle and coloration for a liquid crystal display, [see col. 12, lines 24-26]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have optically anisotropic layers formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid-crystalline

Art Unit: 2871

performance of improving viewing angle and coloration for a liquid crystal display.

Response to Arguments

compound denoted by Formula (I) as mentioned above for the benefit of achieving high

Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicants' argument: in the Remarks, page 13, " Arakawa et al does not

disclose or suggest a retarder comprising a first optically anisotropic layer formed of a

composition comprising a rod-like liquid crystalline compound, and a second optically

anisotropic layer formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid crystalline

compound."

Examiner's response: Arakawa et al discloses a first optically anisotropic layer

(A) formed of a composition comprising a rod-like liquid crystalline compound, [see fig. 3

& par. 0138], and the other layer (B) is a layer made from liquid crystal molecules

wherein layer (A) is made from liquid crystal molecules, [see Abstract & par. 0138].

Applicants' argument: in the Remarks, pages 13-14: "Furthermore, Arakawa et al

fails to disclose or suggest that an in-plane slow axis of the first optically anisotropic

layer and the longitudinal direction of the substrate cross substantially at +30 degrees,

an in-plane slow axis of the second optically anisotropic layer and the longitudinal

direction of the substrate cross substantially at -30 degrees, and the in-plane slow axis

of the second optically anisotropic layer and the in-plane slow axis of the first optically

anisotropic layer cross substantially at 60 degrees... JP '741 fails to cure the above

described deficiencies of Arakawa et al..."

Art Unit: 2871

<u>Examiner's response:</u> In order to cure the above describe deficiencies of Arakawa et al, Examiner did not use JP '741 but used Kaneko instead. Therefore, this argument is considered as irrelevant.

Applicants' argument: in the Remarks, page 15, "In this regard, Kaneko discloses the position of the phase delay axes of the first and second retardation films with respect to the horizontal axis H-H of the liquid crystal element. However, the Patent Office has not provided any reason why, let alone shown with the requisite certainty that, the "horizontal axis H-H" disclosed by Kaneko corresponds to the claimed longitudinal direction of the substrate."

<u>Examiner's response:</u> In two-dimensional, the longitudinal direction of the substrate is in horizontal direction. Therefore, the position of the phase delay axes of the first and second retardation films with respect to the horizontal axis H-H of the liquid crystal element would be the same as the position of the phase delay axes of the first and second retardation films with respect to the longitudinal direction of the substrate.

## Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2871

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone

number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

-- October 12, 2005 --

IN

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 10